AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 4, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005–06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1549

Introduced by Assembly Member Koretz

February 22, 2005

An act to amend Sections 139.2, 3209.3, and 4616.4 of the Labor Code, relating to workers' compensation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1549, as amended, Koretz. Workers' compensation: qualified medical evaluators and independent medical reviewers.

Existing law establishes a workers' compensation system, administered by the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers' Compensation, to compensate an employee for injuries sustained in the course of his or her employment.

Existing law requires the administrative director to appoint qualified medical evaluators in each of the respective specialties as required for the evaluation of medical-legal issues, and to contract with individual physicians or an independent medical review organization to perform independent medical reviews in connection with the use of medical provider networks.

This bill would permit acupuncturists meeting certain requirements to be appointed by the administrative director as qualified medical evaluators, and would permit psychologists, acupuncturists, optometrists, dentists, podiatrists, and chiropractic practitioners licensed by California state law and within the scope of their practice to enter into contracts with the administrative director to be independent medical reviewers.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

AB 1549 — 2 —

1 2

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 139.2 of the Labor Code is amended to read:

- 139.2. (a) The administrative director shall appoint qualified medical evaluators in each of the respective specialties as required for the evaluation of medical-legal issues. The appointments shall be for two-year terms.
- (b) The administrative director shall appoint or reappoint as a qualified medical evaluator a physician, as defined in Section 3209.3, who is licensed to practice in this state and who demonstrates that he or she meets the requirements in paragraphs (1), (2), (7), and (8), and, if the physician is a medical doctor, doctor of osteopathy, doctor of chiropractic, *acupuncturist*, or a psychologist, that he or she also meets the applicable requirements in paragraph (3), (4), or (5), or (6).
- (1) Prior to his or her appointment as a qualified medical evaluator, passes an examination written and administered by the administrative director for the purpose of demonstrating competence in evaluating medical-legal issues in the workers' compensation system. Physicians shall not be required to pass an additional examination as a condition of reappointment. A physician seeking appointment as a qualified medical evaluator on or after January 1, 2001, shall also complete prior to appointment, a course on disability evaluation report writing approved by the administrative director. The administrative director shall specify the curriculum to be covered by disability evaluation report writing courses, which shall include, but is not limited to, 12 or more hours of instruction.
- (2) Devotes at least one-third of total practice time to providing direct medical treatment, or has served as an agreed medical evaluator on eight or more occasions in the 12 months prior to applying to be appointed as a qualified medical evaluator.
- (3) Is a medical doctor or doctor of osteopathy and meets one of the following requirements:
- 35 (A) Is board certified in a specialty by a board recognized by 36 the administrative director and either the Medical Board of 37 California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California.

-3- AB 1549

(B) Has successfully completed a residency training program accredited by the American College of Graduate Medical Education or the osteopathic equivalent.

- (C) Was an active qualified medical evaluator on June 30, 2000.
- (D) Has qualifications that the administrative director and either the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, as appropriate, both deem to be equivalent to board certification in a specialty.
- (4) Is a doctor of chiropractic and meets either of the following requirements:
- (A) Has completed a chiropractic postgraduate specialty program of a minimum of 300 hours taught by a school or college recognized by the administrative director, the Board of Chiropractic Examiners and the Council on Chiropractic Education.
- (B) Has been certified in California workers' compensation evaluation by a provider recognized by the administrative director. The certification program shall include instruction on disability evaluation report writing that meets the standards set forth in paragraph (1).
- (5) Is a psychologist and meets one of the following requirements:
- (A) Is board certified in clinical psychology by a board recognized by the administrative director.
- (B) Holds a doctoral degree in psychology, or a doctoral degree deemed equivalent for licensure by the Board of Psychology pursuant to Section 2914 of the Business and Professions Code, from a university or professional school recognized by the administrative director and has not less than five years' postdoctoral experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders.
- (C) Has not less than five years' postdoctoral experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders, and has served as an agreed medical evaluator on eight or more occasions prior to January 1, 1990.
- 37 (6) If an acupuncturist, *Is an acupuncturist and* meets either of the following requirements:

AB 1549 —4—

(A) Has completed an acupuncture postgraduate specialty program of a minimum of 300 hours taught by a provider recognized by the administrative director.

- (B) Has been certified in California to conduct workers' compensation evaluations by a provider recognized by the administrative director. The certification program shall have included instruction on disability evaluation report writing that meets the standards set forth in paragraph (1).
- (7) Does not have a conflict of interest as determined under the regulations adopted by the administrative director pursuant to subdivision (o).
- (8) Meets any additional medical or professional standards adopted pursuant to paragraph (6) of subdivision (j).
- (c) The administrative director shall adopt standards for appointment of physicians who are retired or who hold teaching positions who are exceptionally well qualified to serve as a qualified medical evaluator even though they do not otherwise qualify under paragraph (2) of subdivision (b). In no event shall a physician whose full-time practice is limited to the forensic evaluation of disability be appointed as a qualified medical evaluator under this subdivision.
- (d) The qualified medical evaluator, upon request, shall be reappointed if he or she meets the qualifications of subdivision (b) and meets all of the following criteria:
- (1) Is in compliance with all applicable regulations and evaluation guidelines adopted by the administrative director.
- (2) Has not had more than five of his or her evaluations that were considered by a workers' compensation administrative law judge at a contested hearing rejected by the workers' compensation administrative law judge or the appeals board pursuant to this section during the most recent two-year period during which the physician served as a qualified medical evaluator. If the workers' compensation administrative law judge or the appeals board rejects the qualified medical evaluator's report on the basis that it fails to meet the minimum standards for those reports established by the administrative director or the appeals board, the workers' compensation administrative law judge or the appeals board, as the case may be, shall make a specific finding to that effect, and shall give notice to the medical evaluator and to the administrative director. Any rejection shall

-5- AB 1549

not be counted as one of the five qualifying rejections until the specific finding has become final and time for appeal has expired.

- (3) Has completed within the previous 24 months at least 12 hours of continuing education in impairment evaluation or workers' compensation-related medical dispute evaluation approved by the administrative director.
- (4) Has not been terminated, suspended, placed on probation, or otherwise disciplined by the administrative director during his or her most recent term as a qualified medical evaluator.

If the evaluator does not meet any one of these criteria, the administrative director may in his or her discretion reappoint or deny reappointment according to regulations adopted by the administrative director. In no event may a physician who does not currently meet the requirements for initial appointment or who has been terminated under subdivision (e) because his or her license has been revoked or terminated by the licensing authority be reappointed.

- (e) The administrative director may, in his or her discretion, suspend or terminate a qualified medical evaluator during his or her term of appointment without a hearing as provided under subdivision (k) or (l) whenever either of the following conditions occurs:
- (1) The evaluator's license to practice in California has been suspended by the relevant licensing authority so as to preclude practice, or has been revoked or terminated by the licensing authority.
- (2) The evaluator has failed to timely pay the fee required by the administrative director pursuant to subdivision (n).
- (f) The administrative director shall furnish a physician, upon request, with a written statement of its reasons for termination of, or for denying appointment or reappointment as, a qualified medical evaluator. Upon receipt of a specific response to the statement of reasons, the administrative director shall review his or her decision not to appoint or reappoint the physician or to terminate the physician and shall notify the physician of its final decision within 60 days after receipt of the physician's response.
- (g) The administrative director shall establish agreements with qualified medical evaluators to assure the expeditious evaluation

AB 1549 -6-

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

of cases assigned to them for comprehensive medical evaluations.

- (h) (1) When requested by an employee or employer pursuant to Section 4062.1, the medical director appointed pursuant to Section 122 shall assign three-member panels of qualified medical evaluators within five working days after receiving a request for a panel. If a panel is not assigned within 15 working days, the employee shall have the right to obtain a medical evaluation from any qualified medical evaluator of his or her choice. The medical director shall use a random selection method for assigning panels of qualified medical evaluators. The medical director shall select evaluators who are specialists of the type requested by the employee. The medical director shall advise the employee that he or she should consult with his or her treating physician prior to deciding which type of specialist to request.
- (2) The administrative director shall promulgate a form that shall notify the employee of the physicians selected for his or her panel after a request has been made pursuant to Section 4062.1 or 4062.2. The form shall include, for each physician on the panel, the physician's name, address, telephone number, specialty, number of years in practice, and a brief description of his or her education and training, and shall advise the employee that he or she is entitled to receive transportation expenses and temporary disability for each day necessary for the examination. The form shall also state in a clear and conspicuous location and type: "You have the right to consult with an information and assistance officer at no cost to you prior to selecting the doctor to prepare your evaluation, or you may consult with an attorney. If your claim eventually goes to court, the workers' compensation administrative law judge will consider the evaluation prepared by the doctor you select to decide your claim."
- (3) When compiling the list of evaluators from which to select randomly, the medical director shall include all qualified medical evaluators who meet all of the following criteria:
- (A) He or she does not have a conflict of interest in the case, as defined by regulations adopted pursuant to subdivision (o).
- (B) He or she is certified by the administrative director to evaluate in an appropriate specialty and at locations within the general geographic area of the employee's residence.

—7— AB 1549

(C) He or she has not been suspended or terminated as a qualified medical evaluator for failure to pay the fee required by the administrative director pursuant to subdivision (n) or for any other reason.

- (4) When the medical director determines that an employee has requested an evaluation by a type of specialist that is appropriate for the employee's injury, but there are not enough qualified medical evaluators of that type within the general geographic area of the employee's residence to establish a three-member panel, the medical director shall include sufficient qualified medical evaluators from other geographic areas and the employer shall pay all necessary travel costs incurred in the event the employee selects an evaluator from another geographic area.
- (i) The medical director appointed pursuant to Section 122 shall continuously review the quality of comprehensive medical evaluations and reports prepared by agreed and qualified medical evaluators and the timeliness with which evaluation reports are prepared and submitted. The review shall include, but not be limited to, a review of a random sample of reports submitted to the division, and a review of all reports alleged to be inaccurate or incomplete by a party to a case for which the evaluation was prepared. The medical director shall submit to the administrative director an annual report summarizing the results of the continuous review of medical evaluations and reports prepared by agreed and qualified medical evaluators and make recommendations for the improvement of the system of medical evaluations and determinations.
- (j) After public hearing pursuant to Section 5307.3, the administrative director shall adopt regulations concerning the following issues:
- (1) (A) Standards governing the timeframes within which medical evaluations shall be prepared and submitted by agreed and qualified medical evaluators. Except as provided in this subdivision, the timeframe for initial medical evaluations to be prepared and submitted shall be no more than 30 days after the evaluator has seen the employee or otherwise commenced the medical evaluation procedure. The administrative director shall develop regulations governing the provision of extensions of the 30-day period in both of the following cases:

AB 1549 -8-

1 2

(i) When the evaluator has not received test results or consulting physician's evaluations in time to meet the 30-day deadline.

- (ii) To extend the 30-day period by not more than 15 days when the failure to meet the 30-day deadline was for good cause.
- (B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), "good cause" means any of the following:
 - (i) Medical emergencies of the evaluator or evaluator's family.
 - (ii) Death in the evaluator's family.
- (iii) Natural disasters or other community catastrophes that interrupt the operation of the evaluator's business.
- (C) The administrative director shall develop timeframes governing availability of qualified medical evaluators for unrepresented employees under Sections 4061 and 4062. These timeframes shall give the employee the right to the addition of a new evaluator to his or her panel, selected at random, for each evaluator not available to see the employee within a specified period of time, but shall also permit the employee to waive this right for a specified period of time thereafter.
- (2) Procedures to be followed by all physicians in evaluating the existence and extent of permanent impairment and limitations resulting from an injury in a manner consistent with Section 4660.
- (3) Procedures governing the determination of any disputed medical treatment issues in a manner consistent with Section 5307.27.
- (4) Procedures to be used in determining the compensability of psychiatric injury. The procedures shall be in accordance with Section 3208.3 and shall require that the diagnosis of a mental disorder be expressed using the terminology and criteria of the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition-Revised, or the terminology and diagnostic criteria of other psychiatric diagnostic manuals generally approved and accepted nationally by practitioners in the field of psychiatric medicine.
- (5) Guidelines for the range of time normally required to perform the following:
- (A) A medical-legal evaluation that has not been defined and valued pursuant to Section 5307.6. The guidelines shall establish minimum times for patient contact in the conduct of the

-9- AB 1549

1 evaluations, and shall be consistent with regulations adopted 2 pursuant to Section 5307.6.

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- (B) Any treatment procedures that have not been defined and valued pursuant to Section 5307.1.
- (C) Any other evaluation procedure requested by the Insurance Commissioner, or deemed appropriate by the administrative director.
- (6) Any additional medical or professional standards that a medical evaluator shall meet as a condition of appointment, reappointment, or maintenance in the status of a medical evaluator.
- (k) Except as provided in this subdivision, the administrative director may, in his or her discretion, suspend or terminate the privilege of a physician to serve as a qualified medical evaluator if the administrative director, after hearing pursuant to subdivision (*l*), determines, based on substantial evidence, that a qualified medical evaluator:
 - (1) Has violated any material statutory or administrative duty.
- (2) Has failed to follow the medical procedures or qualifications established pursuant to paragraph (2), (3), (4), or (5) of subdivision (j).
- (3) Has failed to comply with the timeframe standards established pursuant to subdivision (j).
- (4) Has failed to meet the requirements of subdivision (b) or (c).
- (5) Has prepared medical-legal evaluations that fail to meet the minimum standards for those reports established by the administrative director or the appeals board.
- (6) Has made material misrepresentations or false statements in an application for appointment or reappointment as a qualified medical evaluator.
- No hearing shall be required prior to the suspension or termination of a physician's privilege to serve as a qualified medical evaluator when the physician has done either of the following:
- (A) Failed to timely pay the fee required pursuant to subdivision (n).
- (B) Had his or her license to practice in California suspended by the relevant licensing authority so as to preclude practice, or had the license revoked or terminated by the licensing authority.

AB 1549 — 10 —

(1) The administrative director shall cite the qualified medical evaluator for a violation listed in subdivision (k) and shall set a hearing on the alleged violation within 30 days of service of the citation on the qualified medical evaluator. In addition to the authority to terminate or suspend the qualified medical evaluator upon finding a violation listed in subdivision (k), the administrative director may, in his or her discretion, place a qualified medical evaluator on probation subject to appropriate conditions, including ordering continuing education or training. The administrative director shall report to the appropriate licensing board the name of any qualified medical evaluator who is disciplined pursuant to this subdivision.

- (m) The administrative director shall terminate from the list of medical evaluators any physician where licensure has been terminated by the relevant licensing board, or who has been convicted of a misdemeanor or felony related to the conduct of his or her medical practice, or of a crime of moral turpitude. The administrative director shall suspend or terminate as a medical evaluator any physician who has been suspended or placed on probation by the relevant licensing board. If a physician is suspended or terminated as a qualified medical evaluator under this subdivision, a report prepared by the physician that is not complete, signed, and furnished to one or more of the parties prior to the date of conviction or action of the licensing board, whichever is earlier, shall not be admissible in any proceeding before the appeals board nor shall there be any liability for payment for the report and any expense incurred by the physician in connection with the report.
- (n) Each qualified medical evaluator shall pay a fee, as determined by the administrative director, for appointment or reappointment. These fees shall be based on a sliding scale as established by the administrative director. All revenues from fees paid under this subdivision shall be deposited into the Workers' Compensation Administration Revolving Fund and are available for expenditure upon appropriation by the Legislature, and shall not be used by any other department or agency or for any purpose other than administration of the programs the Division of Workers' Compensation related to the provision of medical treatment to injured employees.

— 11 — AB 1549

(o) An evaluator may not request or accept any compensation or other thing of value from any source that does or could create a conflict with his or her duties as an evaluator under this code. The administrative director, after consultation with the Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation, shall adopt regulations to implement this subdivision.

- SEC. 2. Section 3209.3 of the Labor Code is amended to read:
 - 3209.3. (a) "Physician" includes physicians and surgeons holding an M.D. or D.O. degree, psychologists, acupuncturists, optometrists, dentists, podiatrists, and chiropractic practitioners licensed by California state law and within the scope of their practice as defined by California state law.
 - (b) "Psychologist" means a licensed psychologist with a doctoral degree in psychology, or a doctoral degree deemed equivalent for licensure by the Board of Psychology pursuant to Section 2914 of the Business and Professions Code, and who either has at least two years of clinical experience in a recognized health setting or has met the standards of the National Register of the Health Service Providers in Psychology.
 - (c) When treatment or evaluation for an injury is provided by a psychologist, provision shall be made for appropriate medical collaboration when requested by the employer or the insurer.
 - (d) "Acupuncturist" means a person who holds acupuncturist's certificate issued pursuant to Chapter 12 (commencing with Section 4925) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code.
 - (e) Any acupuncturist who has met all the requirements in paragraph (6) of subdivision (b) of Section 139.2 may determine disability for the purposes of Article 2.3 (commencing with Section 4616) and Article 3 (commencing with Section 4650) of Chapter 2 of Part 2, or under Section 2708 of the Unemployment Insurance Code.
- 33

1

2

4 5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 34 SEC. 3. Section 4616.4 of the Labor Code is amended to 35 read:
- 36 4616.4. (a) (1) The administrative director shall contract 37 with individual physicians, as described in subdivision (a) of 38 Section 3209.3, or an independent medical review organization
- 39 to perform independent medical reviews pursuant to this section.

AB 1549 — 12 —

1 2

 (2) The administrative director shall ensure that the independent medical reviewers or those within the review organization shall do all of the following:

- (A) Be appropriately credentialed and privileged.
- (B) Ensure that the reviews provided by the medical professionals are timely, clear, and credible, and that reviews are monitored for quality on an ongoing basis.
- (C) Ensure that the method of selecting medical professionals for individual cases achieves a fair and impartial panel of medical professionals who are qualified to render recommendations regarding the clinical conditions consistent with the medical utilization schedule established pursuant to Section 5307.27, or the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines.
- (D) Ensure that confidentiality of medical records and the review materials, consistent with the requirements of this section and applicable state and federal law.
- (E) Ensure the independence of the medical professionals retained to perform the reviews through conflict-of-interest policies and prohibitions, and ensure adequate screening for conflicts of interest.
- (3) Medical professionals selected by the administrative director or the independent medical review organizations to review medical treatment decisions shall be physicians, as specified in subdivision (a) of Section 3209.3, who meet the following minimum requirements:
- (A) The medical professional shall be a clinician knowledgeable in the treatment of the employee's medical condition, knowledgeable about the proposed treatment, and familiar with guidelines and protocols in the area of treatment under review.
- (B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the medical professional shall hold a nonrestricted license in any state of the United States, and for physicians, a current certification by a recognized American medical specialty board in the area or areas appropriate to the condition or treatment under review.
- 38 (C) The medical professional shall have no history of disciplinary action or sanctions, including, but not limited to, loss

-13- AB 1549

of staff privileges or participation restrictions taken or pending by any hospital, government, or regulatory body.

- (b) If, after the third physician's opinion, the treatment or diagnostic service remains disputed, the injured employee may request independent medical review regarding the disputed treatment or diagnostic service still in dispute after the third physician's opinion in accordance with Section 4616.3. The standard to be utilized for independent medical review is identical to that contained in the medical treatment utilization schedule established in Section 5307.27, or the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines, as appropriate.
- (c) Applications for independent medical review shall be submitted to the administrative director on a one-page form provided by the administrative director entitled "Independent Medical Review Application." The form shall contain a signed release from the injured employee, or a person authorized pursuant to law to act on behalf of the injured employee, authorizing the release of medical and treatment information. The injured employee may provide any relevant material or documentation with the application. The administrative director or the independent medical review organization shall assign the independent medical reviewer.
- (d) Following receipt of the application for independent medical review, the employer or insurer shall provide the independent medical reviewer, assigned pursuant to subdivision (c), with all information that was considered in relation to the disputed treatment or diagnostic service, including both of the following:
- (1) A copy of all correspondence from, and received by, any treating physician who provided a treatment or diagnostic service to the injured employee in connection with the injury.
- (2) A complete and legible copy of all medical records and other information used by the physicians in making a decision regarding the disputed treatment or diagnostic service.
- (e) Upon receipt of information and documents related to the application for independent medical review, the independent medical reviewer shall conduct a physical examination of the injured employee at the employee's discretion. The reviewer may order any diagnostic tests necessary to make his or her

AB 1549 — 14 —

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

determination regarding medical treatment. Utilizing the medical treatment utilization schedule established pursuant to Section 3 5307.27, or the American College of Occupational and 4 Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice 5 Guidelines, as appropriate, and taking into account any reports and information provided, the reviewer shall determine whether 7 the disputed health care service was consistent with Section 5307.27 or the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice 10 Guidelines based on the specific medical needs of the injured 11

- (f) The independent medical reviewer shall issue a report to the administrative director, in writing, and in layperson's terms to the maximum extent practicable, containing his or her analysis and determination whether the disputed health care service was consistent with the medical treatment utilization schedule established pursuant to Section 5307.27, or the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines, as appropriate, within 30 days of the examination of the injured employee, or within less time as prescribed by the administrative director. If the disputed health care service has not been provided and the independent medical reviewer certifies in writing that an imminent and serious threat to the health of the injured employee may exist, including, but not limited to, serious pain, the potential loss of life, limb, or major bodily function, or the immediate and serious deterioration of the injured employee, the report shall be expedited and rendered within three days of the examination by the independent medical reviewer. Subject to the approval of the administrative director, the deadlines for analyses and determinations involving both regular and expedited reviews may be extended by the administrative director for up to three days in extraordinary circumstances or for good cause.
- (g) The independent medical reviewer's analysis shall cite the injured employee's medical condition, the relevant documents in the record, and the relevant findings associated with the documents or any other information submitted to the reviewer in order to support the determination.

-15- AB 1549

(h) The administrative director shall immediately adopt the determination of the independent medical reviewer, and shall promptly issue a written decision to the parties.

1

2

4 (i) If the determination of the independent medical reviewer finds that the disputed treatment or diagnostic service is 5 consistent with Section 5307.27 or the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational 8 Medicine Practice Guidelines, the injured employee may seek the disputed treatment or diagnostic service from a physician of his or her choice from within or outside the medical provider 10 network. Treatment outside the medical provider network shall 11 be provided consistent with Section 5307.27 or the American 12 13 College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Practice Guidelines. The employer shall be liable 14 15 for the cost of any approved medical treatment in accordance with Section 5307.1 or 5307.11. 16